



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,350	10/22/2003	Gregory E. Burns	200301844-2	6651
759	90 09/29/2004		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			AUVE, GLENN ALLEN	
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2111	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	!			
		BURNS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/691,350 Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
Onice Action Cummary	Glenn A. Auve	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			ess			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) as cause the application to become	ny a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme to ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 C						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	U.D. 11, 453 U.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 15-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15,19,24 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 16-18,20-23 and 25 is/are objected to solve the subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration o.					
Application Papers		÷ -				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		I to be the Francisco				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objecte	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	n priority under 25 LLC	C & 110(a) (d) or (f)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/22/2003. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application Number: 10/691,350

Art Unit: 2111

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 24 is rejected based on lack of positive antecedent basis of "the bus connector" on line 1.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 15,19, and 26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2 and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,763 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only real difference is that the patent claims recite a storage array and then goes on to claim what each storage device in the array comprises, while the application claims are only directed to a single drive and what it comprises. The single drive of the application

Application Number: 10/691,350

Art Unit: 2111

claims is made up of the same elements as the "each storage device" of the patent claims.

Official Notice is taken that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a single one of the storage devices recited in the patent claims if an array of disks were not needed.

The claims correspond to each other as follows:

Applic.	Patent			
Claim	Claim			
15	2			
19	3			
26	2			

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 16-18 and 20-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As noted by applicant in the remarks filed with the preliminary amendment, claim 15 includes the limitations of the bus having a variable stub length and the expander controlling the stub length of the bus. These limitations were the indicated reason for allowance of the claims in the parent, and the prior art still does not show these limitations.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The newly cited references discuss SCSI and stub length (Paul and Caldwell) but do

Application Number: 10/691,350

Art Unit: 2111

not show an expander controlling stub length of a variable stub length bus. The reference to Bertin et al. discusses variable stub lengths, but not in a drive system as claimed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn A. Auve whose telephone number is (703) 305-9638. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM-5:30 PM, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn A. Auve Primary Examiner Art Unit 2111

gaa September 27, 2004